# This article is published in Journal of Nutrition 1/11/2025.

# Iodine intake trends in US girls and women between 2011 and 2020

Abstract word counts: 292, Text word counts: 4453, 4 tables and 2 figures.

Corresponding Author: Hongbing Sun, PhD, Nutrition and Health Study, Department of Earth and Chemistry, Rider University, 2083 Lawrenceville Road, Lawrenceville, New Jersey 08648, US. Email: <u>hsun@rider.edu</u>, Telephone: 609-896-5185, Fax: 609-895-5782

Co-author: Connie M Weaver, PhD -Distinguished Research Professor, School of Exercise & Nutritional Sciences. San Diego State University, 5500 Campanile Dr, San Diego, CA 92182. Email: <u>cmweaver@sdsu.edu</u>

Disclosure statement: The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper. There is no external funding source for the project. The results were not presented at any conferences and were not made public in any manner.

# **Abbreviation Explanation**

ATA: American Thyroid Association CDC: US Centers for Diseases Control and Prevention EAR: Estimated Average Requirement FDA: US Food and Drug Administration FNDDS: Food and Nutrient Database for Dietary Studies FPED: Food Patterns Equivalents Database HEI: Healthy Eating Index MEC: US CDC Mobile Examination Center NCHS: US National Center for Health Statistics NCI: US National Cancer Institute NHANES: US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey ODS-NIH: Office of Dietary Supplements, US National Institute of Health UIC: urinary iodine concentration USDA: US Department of Agriculture WHO: World health organization 1

#### Iodine intake trends in US girls and women between 2011 and 2020

# 2 ABSTRACT

3 Background: Usual intakes of iodine in US girls and women, including pregnant and lactating

4 women have not been adequately studied. Adequate intake of iodine is critical for

5 neurodevelopment of girls, thyroid functions and reproductive health of women.

Objectives: This study aimed to examine the adequacy and trends of iodine intake of US girls
and women between 2011 and 2020.

Methods: We mapped sources of US girls and women's iodine intake from the 29 food groups between 2011 and 2020 using US Department of Agriculture's iodine data release 2. The total food intakes from two days of dietary recall of the US National Health and Nutritional Examination Survey and estimated iodine concentrations of the food groups were used to calculate the usual iodine intakes of female participants. Trends of usual intakes, urinary iodine concentrations (UIC) and estimated intake adequacy were calculated.

Results: Median usual intakes of iodine estimated from diet and supplements and UIC of US 14 girls and non-pregnant, non-lactating women declined between 2011 and 2020 in all three age 15 groups: 14 years and younger, 15-49 years old and 50 years and older. Median usual intakes of 16 17 iodine for pregnant and lactating US women declined as well. Inadequacy levels of usual iodine 18 intake were 9.9% for non-pregnant, non-lactating women of reproductive age 15-49 years old, 40.3% for lactating and 10.2% for pregnant women in 2017-2020 period. Intake insufficiencies 19 20 estimated from UIC were 48.8%, 63.2% and 31.3% for non-pregnant-nonlactating women of 21 reproductive age 15-49 years old, pregnant and lactating women respectively in 2017-2020 22 period. A significant decline in milk consumption might be one of the major contributors to the dietary iodine decline in US women. 23

Conclusions: Iodine intake of US girls and women were on the decline between 2011 and 2020
 and the increased inadequacy of iodine intake deserves public health attention.

26 Key words: iodine intake trend of US girls and women; iodine deficiency; dietary iodine intake;

27 urinary iodine concentration; iodine adequacy of US pregnant and lactating women

# 28 INTRODUCTION

29 lodine is an essential micronutrient and an integral component of thyroid hormones 30 that regulate many important biochemical reactions of girls and women, including those who 31 are pregnant and lactating (1-4). Iodine deficiency has been linked to impairment in 32 neurodevelopment and adverse academic performance in school aged children (5). Iodine deficiency during pregnancy can result in offspring neurodevelopmental deficits and, in 33 34 extreme cases, in cretinism (6). For lactating women, iodine is needed in breast milk to provide 35 the growing baby with the iodine necessary for healthy development of the brain and nervous system (2,7). Iodine related subclinical and overt hypothyroidism in adult women, outside 36 37 pregnancy can also result in a range of adverse metabolic, neuropsychiatric and cardiovascular 38 outcomes (5,8). Excessive iodine intake can cause hyperthyroidism, hypothyroidism and thyroid 39 autoimmunity (9).

Two previous studies of iodine intake (10,11) established the basic levels of mean and 40 median iodine intakes of US population for 2008-2012 and 2003-2010 sample years. The 1st 41 42 study reported the mean iodine intake from diet excluding water and salt (10). The second 43 study reported the estimated mean and median of dietary iodine including the iodine from diet, water and salt and the iodine intake estimation from urinary iodine concentration (UIC) (11). A 44 significant difference between the iodine intake estimated from diet and from UIC existed in 45 the latter study (11). Estimations of usual iodine intakes of pregnant and lactating US women 46 were lacking. Results from National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) I and III 47 showed an approximately 50% decline of UIC between the early 1970s and the early 1990s for 48 49 US population (12). Two recent examinations of UIC between 1999 and 2020 also reported a 50 decline of UIC in the US population (13,14). It is likely that the current usual iodine intake of US girls and women had further declined from that of previous years given the continued decline 51 52 in milk consumption (15), a major source of iodine. An update of trends in iodine intake is

needed based on the most recent iodine composition data of food items (16) and the available
dietary interview data, particularly for pregnant and lactating US women.

The aim of this study was to assess the recent usual intake of US girls and women, 55 including pregnant and lactating women, between 2011 and 2020 using the Release 2.0 of the 56 US Department of Agriculture, Food and Drug Administration, Office of Dietary Supplements-57 58 US National Institute of Health (USDA, FDA and ODS-NIH) Database for the lodine Content of Common Foods (16). The reason that period of 2011 through 2020 was selected was because 59 60 the 24-hr iodine supplemental data from NHANES were only available beginning with 2011-2012 cycle and the most recent data were from the 2017-2020 sample cycle. A secondary aim 61 62 was to identify the food sources that may have contributed to the sustained decline of iodine intake in US girls and women. 63

### 64 MATERIALS AND METHODS

# 65 **Demographic Data**

66 Data of 21753 female participants aged 2 years and older with dietary data between 67 2011-2020 NHANES sample cycles were extracted (17). Age, sex, race, interview and subsample weights were obtained from demographic and corresponding sample files in NHANES database. 68 69 The demographic data were part of the interview questions administered during the Mobile 70 Examination Center (MEC) interview. Female participants aged 14 and under were categorized 71 as girls, female participants 15-49 years old were categorized as women of reproductive ages 72 and female participants 50 years and old were categorized as a third group. All female 73 participants who answered "yes" to the question "Now breastfeeding a child?" in the 74 Reproductive Health data file were classified as breast-feeding or lactating women and those who had positive test result for the "pregnancy status at Exam" were classified as pregnant 75 76 women in this study. Female participants who were not pregnant nor breast-feeding and 15 77 years and older were classified as non-pregnant, non-lactating women. Girls 14 years and younger, women 15-49 years old and 50 years and older were 26.6%, 40.9% and 32.4% of the 78 79 participants analyzed in this study respectively (Table 1).

80 NHANES is a nationally representative cross-sectional study conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) of US Centers for Diseases Control and Prevention (US CDC). 81 It collects information on health and nutritional status of the non-institutionalized civilian 82 83 population in the US (18). The study design, protocol, and data collection methods have been reported extensively elsewhere. More detailed information on the design and methods of 84 NHANES is available on the NHANES website (18). The NHANES study protocol was approved by 85 86 the research ethics review board of the NCHS of US CDC, and all participants provided written informed consent (19). Because NHANES data are deidentified, ethical approval for the analyses 87 of the data in the current study was not needed. 88

#### 89 **Dietary Interview Data**

90 NHANES nutritional assessment from the 24-hr dietary recall interview includes 91 nutrients and non-nutrient components from foods and beverages that were consumed during 92 the 24-hr period prior to the interview (midnight to midnight). Food Patterns Equivalents Database (FPED) across survey cycles 2011–2020 were used with Individual Foods of Dietary 93 Interview of day 1 and day 2 data to assess the 37 MyPyramid food groups of each participants. 94 95 Details on the component definitions can be found on the USDA FPED's document files online (20). FPED divides the foods and beverages in the Food and Nutrient Database for Dietary 96 Studies (FNDDS) of NHANES into the 37 USDA Food Patterns components. The total equivalent 97 food intakes of day 1 and day 2 files for each responding sample person and each record have 98 99 data on the number of equivalents of each of the 37 MyPyramid food groups. These are also the food groups that are typically used for calculating the Healthy Eating Index (HEI) of 2015 100 101 calculation used in the National Cancer Institute method (21,22). Among the 37 food groups, 29 are individual food groups and 8 are category groups. Category group is classified here as the 102 group for which its total iodine intake content can be summarized from the corresponding 103 104 individual food groups. For example, iodine intake of dairy category group for a participant will 105 be the summary iodine intake from milk, cheese and yogurt individual food groups. The 106 NHANES's four-year sample cycle for 2017-2020, instead of the traditional 2-year cycle was

because of Covid-19 interruption in the field operation in 2020. The incomplete 2019-2020 data
were combined into 2017-2018 to create the national representative data of 2017-2020 (17).

Because water and salt intakes also contributed to the iodine intake for NHANES participants, iodine intake from water and salt intakes of each participant were estimated following prior practices (11,14). Therefore, a total of 31 groups (29 individual food groups, water and salt) were used to estimate the iodine intake of each participant. For the usual intake analyses of iodine, the dietary and supplement intakes of qualified participants from two 24-hr dietary recalls (day 1 and day 2) were used in this study.

# 115 UIC Data

116 US CDC measured UIC in spot samples from approximately one-third of the 2011–2020 117 participants aged 3 years and older. Spot urine samples were collected from the selected 118 participants at the time of the MEC examination when the day1 dietary interview data were gathered, and the procedures for collecting, storing, and handling specimens are described in 119 120 detail on the NHANES website. The UIC was determined by using inductively coupled plasma 121 mass spectrometry by the Elemental Analysis Laboratory of the CDCs Division of Laboratory Science (23,24). Because 90% of absorbed iodine is ultimately excreted in the urine, UIC can 122 123 reflect individual's most recent iodine intake. UIC data will be used as a method to estimate 124 the population's insufficiency levels of iodine intake following the guideline given by World 125 Health Organization (WHO) (25). 7890 female NHANES participants with urinary iodine data between 2011-2020 were included in this study. 126

# 127 Estimation of lodine Concentrations

## 128 Iodine from foods

lodine Content of Common Foods releases 2 from USDA, FDA and ODS-NIH has 425 food
items with iodine concentrations in mcg/100 grams (26). Their iodine concentration data were
measured by FDA and USDA labs using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (26,27).
Because 425 food items in the database are far fewer than 6200+ food items in FNDDS, multiple
foods of FNDDS were mapped together to obtain the iodine concentrations of the 29 FPED food

134 groups. They were mapped with the consideration of proportion of the items taken in the dietary items and the mean concentration of respective food category in the database. The final 135 136 mapped iodine concentration used for the 29 food items and their equivalent weight per cup or 137 ounce are given in Appendix Table A1. Total dietary iodine intake from food items of the participants was the summary product of equivalent weight per cup or per ounce (Table A1), 138 iodine concentration in mcg/100 grams and the amount of food intake of each of the 29 groups 139 140 of a participant. We estimated iodine concentrations of 29 individual FPED groups, drinking water, and salt used in cooking and the table. Total iodine intake of each participant is the 141 summary of iodine intakes from food, water, salt and supplement. 142

## 143 Iodine from drinking water and salt

Following Juan et al. (11), using an iodine concentration of 9.2 μg/L, median value
 measured at various US locations in available studies (27,28), iodine intake from water was
 calculated as the product of the intake volume of plain water and 9.2 ug/L.

147A recent study reported that 53% of the salt sold in the US was iodized salt when148weighted by sales volume in ounces or per item (29). About 11% of sodium intake was from salt149(30,31). Salt contains 387.6 mg sodium per gram (11). It is generally accepted that salt used in150industrial food processing does not contain iodine. For iodized salt, the iodine concentration is15145 μg/g (32). The iodine intake per 100 grams of sodium is therefore calculated as152100g\*0.11/0.3876g/g\*0.53\*45 ug/g=677.9 ug or 677.9 mcg per 100 grams of sodium intake.

#### 153 Estimation of Iodine Intake Sufficiency from UIC

Estimation of iodine intake sufficiency from urinary concentration was based on WHO's
 criteria (25). For girls and non-pregnant women, a UIC level below 100 ug/L was considered
 iodine insufficient, 50-99 µg/L was considered mildly deficient, 49-20 ug/l was considered
 moderately deficient, below 20 ug/L was considered severely deficient and above 300 ug/l was
 considered excessive for this group. For lactating women, UIC below 100 ug/L was considered
 insufficient. For pregnant women, UIC below 150 ug/L was considered insufficient and above
 ≥500 µg/L was considered excessive in this study.

#### 161 Outcomes

The main outcomes were the median and trends of usual intake of iodine and UIC of 162 three age groups of NHANES female participants: girls 14 years and younger, women of 163 reproductive ages 15-49 years old and women 50 years and older between 2011 and 2020. 164 They also include the median usual intakes and UIC of lactating and pregnant US women. 165 166 Median usual intakes of five racial groups: Mexican American (Mexican A), Non-Mexican Hispanic Americans (NM Hispanic), non-Hispanic white (White), non-Hispanic black (Black or 167 168 African A), and other races (Other) of non-pregnant, nonlactating US women were also presented. The second level outcomes were the percentages of inadequacy below Estimated 169 170 Average Requirement (EAR), percentages above the Upper Limit and trends of iodine intake 171 based on dietary and supplement intake, the percentages of estimated iodine intake insufficiency based on UIC and iodine supplement intake. Percentage of the median iodine 172 173 intake below the EAR was defined as the proportion of the population at risk of iodine 174 inadequacy. Percentage of population with above the upper limit of iodine intake was defined 175 as having excessive iodine intake. The third level outcomes were the percentages of iodine 176 contributions from the 11 main food categories and groups: total fruit, total vegetable, whole 177 grain, refined grain, total mps (total meat, poultry and seafood), eggs, milk, yogurt, cheese, 178 water and salt and their trends.

## 179 Statistical Methods

The US National Cancer Institute (NCI) method (33) was used to estimate usual intake of 180 181 iodine based on the day 1 and the day 2 iodine intake data calculated from the summary of 182 their 29 food groups, water and salt. The first step of NCI method modeled the probability of consuming a given nutrient and the amount for nutrients that are consumed daily by most 183 184 persons. The second step involved estimating usual intake with parameters estimated from the 185 first step using mixed effect linear regression on a transformed scale with a person-specific effect. Mean and median usual intakes and inadequacy proportion of iodine using the NCI 186 method (33), and percent of food components, their 95% confidence intervals (CI) and standard 187 188 errors (SE) were calculated in SAS (SAS Institute Inc., version 9.4).

189 Statistical analyses including weighted means, medians and 95% CI of UIC data of non-190 pregnant, nonlactating participants were calculated in Stata (version 17, StataCorp LLC) using its 191 Survey Data Analysis (34). For pregnant and lactating women, the regular descriptive stats 192 method in Stata instead of Survey Data Analyses was used for analyses because of the small 193 sample size (Table 1). A five-point linear regression model was used to calculate significance 194 levels of trend (p-trend) of iodine intake with the modeled population medians or means of five 195 sample cycles as dependent variable, and years 2012,2014,2016,2018 and 2020 as independent variable. Significance level was set at 0.05 (95% CI). The percentage changes of the median or 196 197 means were calculated as the differences of the medians or mean of population in 2017–2020 198 and 2011–2012 over the medians or means in 2011-2012.

199 **RESULTS** 

## 200 Iodine intakes from diet and supplements and intake insufficiency estimated from UIC

201 The median total usual iodine intake (diet+ supplements) declined from 224.3±4.7 to 202 196.4 ±3.8, from 184.1±5.2 to 164.8±2.7, from 202±7.7 to 188.9±6.2 mcg/24 hrs between 2011-203 2012 and 2017-2020 for three ages groups of US girls 14 years and younger, non-pregnant, 204 nonlactating women 15-49 years old and 50 years and older (Table 2). These median levels 205 were above the EAR 95 mcg/24 hrs for women aged 14 years and older (35) (for the EARs of 206 other age groups, see note under Table 2). The median iodine intake of pregnant women 207 ranged from 186.8±18.7 to 215.7±27.1 mcg/24 hrs between 2011-2012 and 2017-2020, were well above the EAR 160 mcg/24 hrs during the five sample cycles. For lactating women, their 208 209 median usual intake ranged from 259.6±21.6 to 219.1±35.1 mcg/24hrs between 2011-2012 and 210 2017-2020 and were above the recommended EAR of 209 mcg/24 hrs as well. The median usual iodine intakes of women estimated from diet and supplement were lower in African 211 212 American women than other racial groups (Figure 1a). The median UICs of girls aged 14 years 213 and younger were higher than that of other age groups (Figure 1b).

214 Iodine intake inadequacies for non-pregnant, nonlactating women of reproductive age
215 between 2011-2012 and 2017-2020 based on diet and supplement ranged from 0.5% to 1.1%,
216 4.5% to 9.9% and 4.9% to 15.9% for girls 14 years and younger, women 15-49 years old and 50

years and older respectively. The intake inadequacies for pregnant and lactating women ranged
from 5.6% to 23.7% and 0 to 44.8% respectively. Intake insufficiency based on UIC ranged from
27.1% to 36.5%, 46.5% to 50.7%, 36.5% to 45.2% for the three ages groups respectively, from
52.9% to 63.2% for pregnant women and from 17.7% to 74% for lactating women between
2011-2012 and 2017-2020 sample years. Excessive intakes based on UIC were higher in girls
aged 14 years and younger (16.7% to 23.1%) than in women aged 15-49 years old (9.5% to
14.1%) and 50 years and older (14.6% to 18.9%).

The percentages of pregnant and lactating women who took iodine supplements were significantly higher than that of non-pregnant and non-lactating US women of reproductive ages 15-49 years old (42.9±5.7%, 29.6±6.2% vs.13.4±1.1%) in 2017-2020 sample years based on day 1 interview data (Figure 2a). White Americans had the highest percentage of taking iodine supplements among all races during this period (Figure 2b).

## 229 Trends of iodine usual intake, UIC and iodine supplement

230 Median usual intakes of iodine from diet alone declined significantly in the 5 sample-231 cycle years (2011-2020) for girls 14 years and younger, non-pregnant, nonlactating women of 232 15-49 years old and 50 years and older and lactating women. For iodine intake from total 233 dietary and supplement, the median usual intake declined significantly for girls 14 years and 234 younger and non-pregnant, nonlactating women of 15-49 years during this period (Table 2). The 235 percentages of inadequacy increased and excessive intake declined during this period for all three age groups. Trends of median usual intakes of pregnant and lactating US women 236 237 declined, but not significantly.

Median UIC for girls 14 years and younger and non-pregnant, nonlactating women of aged 15-49 years old and 50 years and older declined between 2011 and 2020. Percentages of total insufficiency estimated from UIC based on WHO criteria all increased for all three age groups and for pregnant women between 2011 and 2020 (Table 3). The decreasing percentage of moderate deficiency for women of reproductive age (15-49 yrs) was significant. The median UICs for pregnant and lactating US women increased between 2011 and 2020 (Table 3).

Overall, the percentage taking iodine supplements rose for women aged 15 years and older, but declined for girls aged 15 years and younger (Figure 2a) between 2011 and 2020.

## 246 Contribution of food groups to total dietary iodine intake

247 The largest iodine intake food category for US women was the dairy products which 248 accounted for 49.3± 0.8% of the total iodine intake in US girls and women in 2011-2012 (Table 249 4). This share of contribution from dairy food to iodine intake dropped to 43.6±0.67 % in 2017-250 2020 and that is a decline of 11.6±2% (Table 4). Though there were increases in the iodine 251 intake from cheese consumption (rose from 7.5% to 8%) and yogurt (rose from 4.1% to 4.3%), 252 the percentage share of iodine intake from milk declined from 37.7% to 31.3% between 2010-253 2012 and 2017-2020 sample cycles (Table 4). The corresponding median (±SE) UICs of four quartiles of dairy intakes, from the lowest to the highest dairy consumption quartiles, are 254 255 170.5±10.8, 196.9±17.1, 208.9±23.6 and 344.6±80 ng/mL respectively. This shows an apparent 256 association between higher UIC level and increased dairy consumption.

257 The second largest food category for iodine intake was the refined grain with 18.8% to 258 20% between 2011-2012 and 2017-2020. Its share increased from 2011 to 2020, but not 259 significantly. The proportional contribution to iodine intake increased from 3% to 4.4 % for eggs 260 and from 10.5% to 11.8% for added salt between 2011 and 2020 sample cycles (Table 4). 261 Dietary iodine contribution from total meat, poultry and seafood ranged from 5.1% to 6.6%, 262 rose slightly. Dietary iodine contribution from total meat, poultry and seafood ranged from 5.1% to 6.6%, rose slightly. Most contributions in the group of meat, poultry and seafood were 263 264 from seafood, at 4±0.4%, 5.1±0.6%, 4.6±0.4%, 4.8±0.5%, 4.6±0.4% for the five sample cycles 265 respectively, between 2011 and 2020. Consumption of seafood in both low and high n-3 fatty acids decreased, but not significantly (regression slope t=-0.83, significance level p=0.469 and 266 267 t=-0.01, p=0.991 respectively).

Among the three-age groups, milk contribution to the total iodine intake was 48.6% for girls 15 years and younger, 28.6% for women of reproductive age, 15-49 yrs old and 31.4% for women aged 50 years and older. Contribution of iodine intake from refined grain and salt intakes were higher for women of reproductive age group than for other age groups.

#### 272 **DISCUSSION**

### 273 Comparing trends and values of iodine intake with that of prior studies

274 Median usual intakes of dietary iodine and UICs of US girls and non-pregnant, nonlactating women declined between 2011 and 2020. These trends are consistent with the iodine 275 276 intake trends reported in two recent studies based on UIC alone between 2001 and 2020 for 277 the general US population (13,14). They followed the declining UIC trend observed between 278 1970s and 1990s (12). The proportion of US women 15 years and older who took iodine 279 supplements increased during this period and this is consistent with the increased intake of 280 overall supplements in the general US population (36,37). The increased iodine supplement 281 intake likely contributed to the rising trends of UICs in pregnant and lactating women between 282 2011 and 2020 (Figure 2 and Table 3). However, dietary iodine intake (excluding supplement) 283 for lactating women still declined significantly during the period of 2011 to 2020 (Table 2).

284 Comparing to the mean iodine intakes of varied age groups reported by Abt et al. (10) 285 based on diet data of 2008-2012, the median usual intakes in current study are similar to theirs 286 in 2011-2016, but slightly lower than theirs in more recent years 2017-2020. We added the total iodine intake from salt and water while Abt et al. (10) did not. Iodine intakes reported by 287 288 Juan et al. (11), for the 2003-2010 women population, were higher than ours. The differences 289 between our study and these two prior studies (10,11) may be attributable to three factors. The 290 first factor is the significant decline of milk consumption in recent years that represents an important source of iodine. The second factor is the iodine intake estimation from salt in which 291 292 we assumed 53% of salt used being iodized (28) instead of previous estimates of 70% (11,32). 293 The third likely factor is the iodine concentration mapping strategy for categorized food groups. However, these two studies did not report the trend of the iodine intake of women for the 294 295 period they studied. Similar to that of Juan (11), we found differences between iodine intake 296 inadequacy estimated from diet and supplement using EAR and insufficiency estimated from 297 UIC. We think the different criteria (EARs and UIC criteria) used in the estimation of iodine 298 adequacy from diet and supplement and from UIC largely contributed to this difference. In 299 addition, the possible inaccuracy in dietary iodine estimation from food items and iodized salt

300 might have contributed to this as well. UIC is considered a good marker of population's iodine301 intake by WHO (25).

Median usual intakes of non-pregnant, non-lactating African American women 15 years and older estimated from diet and supplement was the lowest among all races except that of Non-Mexican Hispanic women (Figure 1), yet median UICs of African American women was higher than that of Mexican American women and other races. This difference might be related to the higher sea food consumption among African American women than other racial groups (38,39) while NHANES day 1 and day 2 survey might not have captured the infrequent sea food consumption (with high iodine concentration) of women (11).

This study is likely the first study, to our best knowledge, that trends and iodine usual intakes of US women, particularly pregnant and lactating women, between 2011 and 2020 were analyzed. The results can have policy importance for the developmental, reproductive and other related health for US girls and women.

313 Declining iodine intake and the milk contribution

314 Milk has about 35 mcg/100 grams of iodine, compared to that of vegetables, beef, 315 chicken and regular enriched white bread at averages of about 0.61, 8.23, 1.65 and 1.5 mcg/100 grams of iodine respectively (16,40). Milk iodine contribution declined from 37.7% to 316 317 31.3%, a 6.4% reduction between 2011 and 2020. It has long been recognized that the 318 percentage of US women consuming milk declined (15). Though there were increases in the 319 iodine intake from cheese, yogurt, eggs and added salt, their increase did not negate the 320 decreased percentage of iodine intake from milk. The positive association of UIC with intake of dairy consumption was apparent in this study. Our result is consistent with the result of a prior 321 322 study that people with more frequent consumption of milk products tend to have higher UIC 323 than those with rare or never consumption of milk products (14). Decreased levels of iodine in 324 milk due to the reduced use of iodine-containing feed supplements and iodophor sanitizing 325 agents in the dairy industry were also suggested as reasons for iodine intake decline (41). 326 Reduced use of iodate dough conditioners by commercial bakers was suggested as one of the 327 contributors to the iodine decline as well (42).

#### 328 Clinical Implications

Iodine intake estimated from diet-supplement and UIC for US women of reproductive
 aged 15-49 years old were the lowest among the three age groups. Iodine intake insufficiency
 estimated from UIC between 2011 and 2020 were approaching the 50% mark for this group of
 US women and surpassed 50% for pregnant women.

WHO recommends 250 mcg iodine supplement per day for women during pregnancy and breast-feeding, otherwise 120 mcg per day (24). The American Thyroid Association (ATA) recommends that women take a dietary supplement containing 150 mcg/day of iodine three months prior to conception and while pregnant and lactating to support fetal growth and neurological development (43). Only 42.9% (SE±5.6%) pregnant, 29.6% (SE±6.2%) breastfeeding and 13.4% (SE±1.1%) non-pregnant, nonlactating women of reproductive ages 15-49 years old took any iodine supplement during the 2017 and 2020 sample cycle (Figure 2).

Given the increasing trend of iodine deficiency and majority of US women were not taking any iodine supplement, there is a need for intervention through encouraging dairy consumption, iodine added food and salt or iodine supplements to thwart the declining trend of iodine intake, particularly for women of reproductive ages, pregnant and lactating. Longterm savings from health care cost related to iodine inadequacy may outweigh the economic cost of iodine intervention. However, ATA advises against the ingestion of iodine and kelp supplements containing in excess of 500 mcg iodine daily (44).

## 347 Limitations

USDA, FDA and ODS-NIH release 2 of food iodine concentration has only 425 food items
 available while more than 6000 food items were reported in NHANES nutritional survey.
 Therefore, our mean estimations of iodine concentration of 29 FPED food groups, salt and
 water, might have over- or underestimated iodine intake from the foods consumed by NHANES
 participants despite our best effort for mapping the means of food groups. Iodine
 concentration of the food items might also change with time. Infrequent intake of high iodine
 concentration food such as seafood might be missed by NHANES 24-hrs recalls and can

underestimate the iodine intake of some NHANES participants (11). The relatively small number
of pregnant and lactating women in this study makes detailed analyses difficult and the results
for these two groups may not represent that of US population. Other cofounding factors, such
as geographic variation of iodine concentration in food items that were not considered (38)
might also affect the outcome of usual intake estimation.

### 360 **CONCLUSIONS**

Median dietary iodine intake of US women declined significantly from the 2011-2012 to 361 2017-2020 NHANES sample cycles. The median usual intakes of iodine were 196.4, 164.8 and 362 188.9 mcg/24hrs for US girls 14 years and younger, non-pregnant, non-lactating women of 363 reproductive ages 15-49 years old and women 50 years and older respectively in 2017-2020 364 365 sample years. The median usual intakes of pregnant and lactating women were 235.1 and 230.4 366 mcg/24 hrs with 10.2% below EAR for pregnant and 40.3% below EAR for lactating women 367 during the 2017-2020 period respectively. The iodine intake estimated from UIC declined as well. Insufficiencies estimated from UIC using WHO criteria were 33.8%, 48.8% and 45.3% for 368 US girls 14 years and younger, non-lactating women of reproductive ages 15-49 years old and 369 370 women 50 years and older respectively in 2017-2020 sample years. 63.3% and 31.3% pregnant 371 and lactating women had insufficient iodine intake based on UIC. Though proportion of US women 15 years and older who took iodine supplement increased, majority of US women, 372 373 including pregnant and lactating, were not taking any iodine supplement during this period. 374 Percentages of excessive iodine intake levels based on UIC ranged from 16.7% to 23.1%, 9.5% to 14.1%, 14.6% to 18.9% for US girls 14 years and younger, non-pregnant, nonlactating women 375 15-49 years and 50 years and older in 2017-2020 sample years. Continued declines in milk 376 377 consumption was identified as one of the major contributors to the decline of iodine intake in US girls and women. Increased inadequacies of iodine intake estimated from both food intake 378 379 and UIC between 2011 and 2020 can have significant future health implication for US women 380 and deserve public health attention.

## 381 Acknowledgements

- Hongbing Sun contributed to the design and implementation of the research, to the analysis of
- the results and to the writing of the manuscript and is responsible for the final content of the
- article. Connie M Weaver contributed to the interpretation of the results and writing of the
- manuscript. All authors (HS, CMW) have read and approved the manuscript. The authors wish
- to thank Elizabeth Pearce from Boston University for her critical and constructive comments
- 387 which helped improve this manuscript significantly.

# 388 Declaration of Conflicting Interests

- 389 The author declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship,
- 390 and/or publication of this article.

# 391 Funding

The author received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of thisarticle.

#### 394 **REFERENCES**

- Caldwell KL, Jones R, Hollowell JG. Urinary iodine concentration: United States National
   Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2001–2002. Thyroid 2005;15(7):692–699.
- Pearce EN, Lazarus JH, Moreno-Reyes R, Zimmermann MB. Consequences of iodine
   deficiency and excess in pregnant women: an overview of current knowns and
   unknowns. Am J Clin Nutr. 2016;104(Suppl):9185–235.
- 400 3. Panth P, Guerin G, DiMarco NM. A review of iodine status of women of reproductive age
  401 in the USA. Biol Trace Elem Res. 2019;188(1):208-20.
- 40. Andersson M, Braegger CP. The role of iodine for thyroid function in lactating women
  and infants. Endocr Rev 2022;43(3):469-506.
- 5. Hetzel BS. lodine and neuropsychological development. J Nutr. 2000; 1;130(2):493S-5S.
- 405
  6. Perrine CG, Herrick KA, Gupta PM, Caldwell KL. Iodine status of pregnant women and
  406 women of reproductive age in the United States. Thyroid. 2019;29(1):153-4.
- 407 7. Zimmermann MB. The effects of iodine deficiency in pregnancy and infancy. Paediatr
  408 Perinat Epidemiol. 2012;26(Suppl 1):108–17.
- 8. Tran HV, Erskine NA, Kiefe CI, Barton BA, Lapane KL, Do VT, Goldberg RJ. Is low iodine a
  risk factor for cardiovascular disease in Americans without thyroid dysfunction? Findings
  from NHANES. Nutr Metab Cardiovas. 2017 1;27(7):651.
- 412 9. Farebrother J, Zimmermann MB, Andersson M. Excess iodine intake: sources,
  413 assessment, and effects on thyroid function. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2019;1446(1):44-65.
- 414 10. Abt E, Spungen J, Pouillot R, Gamalo-Siebers M, Wirtz M. Update on dietary intake of
- 415 perchlorate and iodine from US food and drug administration's total diet study: 2008–
- 416 2012. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2018;28(1):21-30.
- 417 11. Juan W, Trumbo PR, Spungen JH, Dwyer JT, Carriquiry AL, Zimmerman TP, Swanson CA,
   418 Murphy SP. Comparison of 2 methods for estimating the prevalences of inadequate and
   419 excessive iodine intakes. Am J Clin Nutr. 2016;104(suppl 3):888S-97S.
- 420 12. Hollowell J.G., Staehling N.W., Hannon W.H., Flanders D.W., Gunter E.W., Maberly G.F.,
- 421 Braverman L.E., Pino S., Miller D.T., Garbe P.L., et al. Iodine nutrition in the United
- 422 States. Trends and public health implications: Iodine excretion data from National

- 423 Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys I and III (1971-1974 and 1988-1994) J Clin 424 Endocrinol Metab. 1998; 83:3401–3408. 13. Lee KW, Cho MS, Shin D, et al. Changes in iodine status among US adults, 2001–2012. Int 425 426 J Food Sci Nutr 2016; 67(2):184–194. 427 14. Zhang K, Cheng J, Yu J, Chen Y, Shi X, Zhu C, Lu Y, Wang N, Han B. Trends in Iodine Status Among US Children and Adults: A Cross-Sectional Analysis of National Health and 428 429 Nutrition Examination Survey Data from 2001–2004 to 2017–2020. Thyroid. 2022;32(8):962-71. 430 15. Stewart H, Kuchler F, Dong D, Cessna J. Examining the Decline in US Per Capita 431 432 Consumption of Fluid Cow's Milk, 2003–18. 2021. https://www.ers.usda.gov/webdocs/publications/102447/err-300.pdf?v=9093.5 433 Accessed on Nov 20, 2023. 434 16. USDA, FDA and ODS-NIH Database for the lodine Content of Common Foods Release 435 2.0. 2022. https://www.ars.usda.gov/northeast-area/beltsville-md-bhnrc/beltsville-436 human-nutrition-research-center/methods-and-application-of-food-composition-437 laboratory/mafcl-site-pages/iodine/. Accessed on Nov 20, 2023. 438 439 17. US CDC, National Center for Health Statistics. National Health and Nutrition Examination 440 Survey (NHANES) Comprehensive Data List. https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/search/datapage.aspx. Accessed on May 20, 2023. 441 18. US CDC, NHANES 2017-2018 Laboratory Methods. 2021. 442 https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/continuousnhanes/labmethods.aspx?BeginYear=20 443 17. Accessed on Nov 20, 2023. 444 19. US CDC, National Center for Health Statistics. National Health and Nutrition Examination 445 446 364 Survey NCHS Research Ethics Review Board (ERB) Approval. 365. 447 https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/irba98.htm. Accessed on Nov 20, 2023. 20. USDA. FPED Databases. 2023. Available online: https://www.ars.usda.gov/northeast-448 area/beltsville-md-bhnrc/beltsville-human-nutrition-research-center/food-surveys-449
  - 450 research-group/docs/fped-databases/ Accessed on Nov 30, 2023.

21. USDA. Health Eating Index. 2023. Available online: https://www.fns.usda.gov/healthy-451 452 eating-index-hei. Accessed on Nov 30, 2023. 453 22. National Cancer Institute (NCI). Overview & Background of the Healthy Eating Index; 2023. Available online: https://epi.grants.cancer.gov/hei/. Accessed on May 30, 2023. 454 23. DHHS, CDC, National Center for Health Statistics, Atlanta, GA. National Health and 455 Nutrition Examination Survey Methods and Analytic Guidelines. Available from: https:// 456 wwwn.cdc.gov/Nchs/Nhanes/AnalyticGuidelines.aspx#sample-design. Accessed on June 457 30, 2023. 458 24. Caldwell KL, Jones R, Hollowell JG. Urinary iodine concentration: United States National 459 460 Health and Nutrition, Examination Survey 2001–2002. Thyroid 2005;15(7):692–699. 25. World Health Organization. Assessment of iodine deficiency disorders and monitoring 461 their elimination: a guide for programme managers. 2007. 462 https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/43781/9789241595827 eng.pdf 463 Accessed on Nov 17, 2023 464 26. Roseland JM, Spungen JH, Patterson KY, Ershow AG, Gahche JJ, Pehrsson PP. USDA, 465 FDA, and ODS-NIH Database for the lodine Content of Common Foods. Available online: 466 467 https://www.ars.usda.gov/ARSUSERFILES/80400535/DATA/IODINE/IODINE%20DATABA

468 SE RELEASE 2 DOCUMENTATION.PDF. Accessed on Nov 20, 2023.

- 27. Snyder SA, Vanderford BJ, Rexing DJ. Trace analysis of bromate, chlorate, iodate, and
   perchlorate in natural and bottled waters. Environ Sci Technol 2005;39:4586–93.
- 471 28. Dorman JW, Steinberg SM. Analysis of iodide and iodate in Lake Mead, Nevada using a
  472 headspace derivatization gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. Environ Monit Assess
  473 2010;161:229–36
- 474 29. Maalouf J, Barron J, Gunn JP, Yuan K, Perrine CG, Cogswell ME. Iodized salt sales in the
  475 United States. Nutrients. 2015;7(3):1691-5.
- 30. Harnack LJ, Cogswell ME, Shikany JM, Gardner CD, Gillespie C, Loria CM, Zhou X, Yuan K,
  Steffen LM. Sources of sodium in US adults from 3 geographic regions. Circulation.
  2017;135(19):1775-83.

- 479 31. Mattes RD, Donnelly D. Relative contributions of dietary sodium sources. J Am Coll Nutr
  480 1991;10:383–93.
- 32. Dasgupta P.K., Liu Y., Dyke J.V. Iodine nutrition: Iodine content of iodized salt in the
  United States. Environ Sci Technol. 2008;42:1315–1323.
- 483 33. National Cancer Institute. Usual Dietary Intakes: The NCI Method.
- 484 Available online: <u>https://epi.grants.cancer.gov/diet/usualintakes/</u>. Accessed on Nov 30,
  485 2023.
- 486 34. Stata, 2009. Survey Data Analysis in Stata,
- 487 https://www.stata.com/meeting/canada09/ca09\_pitblado\_handout.pdf. Accessed on
  488 Nov 20, 2023.
- 35. Institute of Medicine (US) Panel on Micronutrients. Dietary Reference Intakes for
  Vitamin A, Vitamin K, Arsenic, Boron, Chromium, Copper, Iodine, Iron, Manganese,
- 491 Molybdenum, Nickel, Silicon, Vanadium, and Zinc. J Am Diet Assoc. 2001, 101, 294–301.
- 36. Mishra, S., Stierman, B., Gahche, J.J. and Potischman, N., 2021. Dietary supplement use
  among adults: United States, 2017–2018. <u>https://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/101131</u>.
  Accessed on Nov 20, 2023.
- 495 37. Kantor ED, Rehm CD, Du M, White E, Giovannucci EL. Trends in dietary supplement use
  496 among US adults from 1999-2012. Jama. 2016;316(14):1464-74.
- 38. Jahns L, Raatz SK, Johnson LK, Kranz S, Silverstein JT, Picklo Sr MJ. Intake of seafood in
  the US varies by age, income, and education level but not by race-ethnicity. Nutrients.
  2014 Dec 22;6(12):6060-75.
- 39. Wang Y, Beydoun MA, Caballero B, Gary TL, Lawrence R. Trends and correlates in meat
  consumption patterns in the US adult population. Public Health Nutr. 2010;13:1333–
  1345.
- 40. Roseland JM, Phillips KM, Patterson KY, Pehrsson PR, Bahadur R, Ershow AG, Somanchi
  M. Large variability of iodine content in retail cow's milk in the US. Nutrients. 2020;
  28;12(5):1246.
- 41. Pennington JA, Schoen SA. Total diet study: estimated dietary intakes of nutritional
   elements, 1982-1991. International journal for vitamin and nutrition research.

- Internationale Zeitschrift fur Vitamin-und Ernahrungsforschung. Int J Vitam Nutr Res.
  1996; 66(4):350-62.
- 42. US National Institute of Health. Iodine, Fact Sheet for Health Professionals
   <u>https://ods.od.nih.gov/factsheets/Iodine-HealthProfessional/</u>. Accessed on Nov 20, 2023.
- 43. Alexander EK, Pearce EN, Brent GA, Brown RS, Chen H, Dosiou C, Grobman WA,
- 513 Laurberg P, Lazarus JH, Mandel SJ, Peeters RP, Sullivan S. 2017 Guidelines of the
- 514 American Thyroid Association for the Diagnosis and Management of Thyroid Disease
- 515 During Pregnancy and the Postpartum. Thyroid. 2017.27(3):315-389. doi:
- 516 10.1089/thy.2016.0457. Erratum in: Thyroid. 2017;27(9):1212. PMID: 28056690.
- 517 44. American Thyroid Association (ATA): On the potential risks of excess lodine Ingestion
- 518 and exposure. <u>https://www.thyroid.org/american-thyroid-association-ata-issues-</u>
- 519 <u>statement-on-the-potential-risks-of-excess-iodine-ingestion-and-exposure/</u>. Accessed
- 520 on Nov 20, 2023.
- 521

# 522 Figure captions

- 523 Figure 1. a, median usual intakes of iodine and b, median urinary iodine concentration (UIC) vs.
- races and three age groups of US females in 2017-2020 cycles. Label abbreviation: Mexican A,
- 525 Mexican Americans, NM Hispanic, Non-Mexican Hispanic Americans.
- 526 Figure 2. a, Percentage trends and b, percentages by races of US girls, nonpregnant-
- 527 nonlactating, pregnant and lactating US women who took iodine supplement between 2011-
- 528 2012 and 2017-2020 sample cycles.

Table 1. Demographic data of US girls and women between 2011 and 2020 analyzed in thisstudy

Category\year         2012         2014         2016         2018         2020         count           Number of girls and women with dietary data by age group         1,198         1,095         1,084         894         1,524         5,795           15-49         1,188         1,095         1,692         1,445         2,392         8,901           >=50         1,168         1,253         1,265         1,302         2,069         7,057           total         3,953         4,133         4,041         3,641         5,985         21,753           Number of pregnant of pregnant of pregnant         49         62         63         48         77         299           lactating         21         40         42         38         54         195           Number of girls and women with dietary data         5,985         2,2,55         7,344           lactating         21         40         42         38         54         195           NMH         413         411         552         310         5,70         2,256           White         1,247         1,552         1,238         1,630         5,393         3,360           Other         643	2011- 2013- 2015- 2017- 2017- Total										
<15 yrs old         1,198         1,095         1,084         894         1,524         5,795           15-49         1,587         1,785         1,692         1,445         2,392         8,901           >=50         1,168         1,253         1,265         1,302         2,069         7,057           total         3,953         4,133         4,041         3,641         5,985         21,753           Number of pregnant and lactating women with dietary data (ages 20-         43 yrs old)         42         38         54         195           lactating         21         40         42         38         54         195           Number of girls and women with dietary data by races         1         3,360         NMH         413         411         552         310         570         2,256           White         1,247         1,552         1,238         1,252         2,055         7,344           Black         1,139         873         888         863         1,630         5,393           Other         643         593         550         662         952         3,400           Numbers of pregnant         18         20         22         20 <t< td=""><td>Category\year</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Category\year										
1,198         1,095         1,084         894         1,524         5,795           15-49         1,587         1,785         1,692         1,445         2,392         8,901           >=50         1,168         1,253         1,265         1,302         2,069         7,057           total         3,953         4,133         4,041         3,641         5,985         21,753           Number of pregnant and lactating women with dietary data (ages 20-         43 yrs old)         43         548         77         299           lactating         21         40         42         38         54         195           Number of girls and women with dietary data by races         1         195         3,360         195           NMH         413         411         552         310         570         2,256           White         1,247         1,552         1,238         1,252         2,055         7,344           Black         1,139         873         888         863         1,630         5,393           Other         643         593         550         662         952         3,400           Numbers of pregnant         18         20         22 <td colspan="10"></td>											
1,198         1,095         1,084         894         1,524         5,795           15-49         1,587         1,785         1,692         1,445         2,392         8,901           >=50         1,168         1,253         1,265         1,302         2,069         7,057           total         3,953         4,133         4,041         3,641         5,985         21,753           Number of pregnant and lactating women with dietary data (ages 20-         43 yrs old)         43         548         77         299           lactating         21         40         42         38         54         195           Number of girls and women with dietary data by races         1         195         3,360         195           NMH         413         411         552         310         570         2,256           White         1,247         1,552         1,238         1,252         2,055         7,344           Black         1,139         873         888         863         1,630         5,393           Other         643         593         550         662         952         3,400           Numbers of pregnant         18         20         22 <td colspan="10">&lt;15 vrs old</td>	<15 vrs old										
1,587         1,785         1,692         1,445         2,392         8,901           >=50         1,168         1,253         1,265         1,302         2,069         7,057           total         3,953         4,133         4,041         3,641         5,985         21,753           Number of pregnant and lactating women with dietary data (ages 20-43 yrs old)         43 yrs old)         48         77         299           lactating         21         400         42         38         54         195           Number of girls and women with dietary data         by races         195         3,360         1,445         1,573           NMH         413         411         552         310         570         2,256           White         1,247         1,552         1,238         1,252         2,055         7,344           Black         1,139         873         888         863         1,630         5,393           Other         643         593         550         662         952         3,400           Numbers of pregnant and lactating women and all ot+rs with UIC data         pregnant         18         20         22         20         26         106	•	1,198 1,095 1,084 894 1,524 5,795									
1,168         1,253         1,365         1,302         2,069         7,057           total         3,953         4,133         4,041         3,641         5,985         21,753           Number of pregnant and lactating women with dietary data (ages 20-43 yrs old)         49         62         63         48         77         299           lactating         21         40         42         38         54         195           Number of girls and women with dietary data by races         778         3,360           NMH         413         411         552         310         570         2,256           White         1,247         1,552         1,238         1,252         2,055         7,344           Black         1,139         873         888         863         1,630         5,393           Other         643         593         550         662         952         3,400           Numbers of pregnant and lactating women and all others with UIC data         pregnant         18         20         22         20         26         106           lactating         9         12         11         11         17         60	15-49	1,587	1,785	1,692	1,445	2,392	8,901				
3,953         4,133         4,041         3,641         5,985         21,753           Number of pregnant and lactating women with dietary data (ages 20-43 yrs old)           pregnant         49         62         63         48         77         299           lactating         21         40         42         38         54         195           Number of girls and women with dietary data by races         1         704         813         554         778         3,360           NMH         413         411         552         310         570         2,256           White         1,247         1,552         1,238         1,252         2,055         7,344           Black         1,139         873         888         863         1,630         5,393           Other         643         593         550         662         952         3,400           Numbers of pregnant         18         20         22         20         26         106           lactating         9         12         11         11         17         60	>=50	1,168	1,253	1,265	1,302	2,069	7,057				
43 yrs old)         pregnant       49       62       63       48       77       299         lactating       21       40       42       38       54       195         Number of girls and women with dietary data by races <sup>1</sup> MA       511       704       813       554       778       3,360         NMH       413       411       552       310       570       2,256         White       1,247       1,552       1,238       1,252       2,055       7,344         Black       1,139       873       888       863       1,630       5,393         Other       643       593       550       662       952       3,400         Numbers of pregnant and lactating women and all others with UIC data       pregnant       18       20       22       20       26       106         lactating       9       12       11       11       17       60	total	3,953	4,133	4,041	3,641	5,985	21,753				
pregnant         49         62         63         48         77         299           lactating         21         40         42         38         54         195           Number of girls and women with dietary data by races         1         778         3,360           NMH         511         704         813         554         778         3,360           NMH         413         411         552         310         570         2,256           White         1,247         1,552         1,238         1,252         2,055         7,344           Black         1,139         873         888         863         1,630         5,393           Other         643         593         550         662         952         3,400           Numbers of pregnant         18         20         22         20         26         106           lactating         9         12         11         11         17         60	Number of pre	gnant an	d lactating	, women	with dieta	ary data (a	iges 20-				
Iactating         21         40         42         38         54         195           Number of girls and women with dietary data by races <sup>1</sup> MA         511         704         813         554         778         3,360           NMH         413         411         552         310         570         2,256           White         1,247         1,552         1,238         1,252         2,055         7,344           Black         1,139         873         888         863         1,630         5,393           Other         643         593         550         662         952         3,400           Numbers of pregnant         18         20         22         20         26         106           lactating         9         12         11         11         17         60	43 yrs old)										
Number of girls and women with dietary data by races <sup>1</sup> MA         511         704         813         554         778         3,360           NMH         413         411         552         310         570         2,256           White         1,247         1,552         1,238         1,252         2,055         7,344           Black         1,139         873         888         863         1,630         5,393           Other         643         593         550         662         952         3,400           Numbers of pregnant and lactating         18         20         22         20         26         106           lactating         9         12         11         11         17         60	pregnant	49	62	63	48	77	299				
<sup>1</sup> MA       511       704       813       554       778       3,360         NMH       413       411       552       310       570       2,256         White       1,247       1,552       1,238       1,252       2,055       7,344         Black       1,139       873       888       863       1,630       5,393         Other       643       593       550       662       952       3,400         Numbers of pregnant       18       20       22       20       26       106         lactating       9       12       11       11       17       60	lactating	21	40	42	38	54	195				
511         704         813         554         778         3,360           NMH         413         411         552         310         570         2,256           White         1,247         1,552         1,238         1,252         2,055         7,344           Black         1,139         873         888         863         1,630         5,393           Other         643         593         550         662         952         3,400           Numbers of pregnant         18         20         22         20         26         106           lactating         9         12         11         11         17         60	Numbe	r of girls	and wome	en with d	lietary dat	a by races					
413       411       552       310       570       2,256         White       1,247       1,552       1,238       1,252       2,055       7,344         Black       1,139       873       888       863       1,630       5,393         Other       643       593       550       662       952       3,400         Numbers of pregnant and lactating women and all others with UIC data         pregnant       18       20       22       20       26       106         lactating       9       12       11       11       17       60	<sup>1</sup> MA	511	704	813	554	778	3,360				
1,247       1,552       1,238       1,252       2,055       7,344         Black       1,139       873       888       863       1,630       5,393         Other       643       593       550       662       952       3,400         Numbers of pregnant and lactating women and all others with UIC data         pregnant       18       20       22       20       26       106         lactating       9       12       11       11       17       60	NMH	413	411	552	310	570	2,256				
1,139       873       888       863       1,630       5,393         Other       643       593       550       662       952       3,400         Numbers of pregnant and lactating women and all others with UIC data         pregnant       18       20       22       20       26       106         lactating       9       12       11       11       17       60	White	1,247	1,552	1,238	1,252	2,055	7,344				
643         593         550         662         952         3,400           Numbers of pregnant and lactating women and all others with UIC data           pregnant         18         20         22         20         26         106           lactating         9         12         11         11         17         60	Black	1,139	873	888	863	1,630	5,393				
pregnant         18         20         22         20         26         106           lactating         9         12         11         11         17         60	Other	643	593	550	662	952	3,400				
Iactating         9         12         11         11         17         60	Numbers of pregnant and lactating women and all others with UIC data										
	pregnant	18	20	22	20	26	106				
All other 1247 1,347 1,547 1,430 2,319 7,890	lactating	9	12	11	11	17	60				
	All other	1247	1,347	1,547	1,430	2,319	7,890				

532 Race<sup>1</sup>: MA, Mexican American, NMA, non-Mexican Hispanic, White, Non-Hispanic White, Black,

533 Non-Hispanic Black, Other, Other Races - Including Multi-Racial.

534

Table 2. Median usual intakes (mcg/24 hrs) and adequacy percentages of dietary and dietary

537 plus supplementary iodine of US girls and women

	Diet only	Diet+supplement	Inadequacy%	Excessive 38				
Girls 14 years and younger								
2011-2012	212.2±4.2	224.3±4.7	0.5±0.3	19.6±2.4539				
2013-2014	195.8±5.2	207.3±5.9	0.6±0.2	15.7±1.2				
2015-2016	197.7±8.0	203. 7±8.1	0.6±0.4	13.8±1.2 <sup>540</sup>				
2017-2018	189.6±3.4	196.4±3.7	0.8±0.4	11.8±1.3 541				
2017-2020	188.9±3.5	196.4±3.8	1.1±0.6	12.4±1.0				
p-trend	0.044(-)	0.027(-)	0.036(+)	0.026(-)542				
	Non-pregnant, non-	-lactating women 15	to 49 years old					
2011-2012	175.6±4.9	184.1±5.2	5.8±1	0±0 543				
2013-2014	172.9±3.4	184.7±3.7	9.3±2.7	0.01±0.05				
2015-2016	167.1±6.3	181.6±7.8	4.5±1.4	0±0 544				
2017-2018	156.3±3.9	170.4±4.3	9.1±1.7	0±0 545				
2017-2020	150.3±2.4	164.8±2.7	9.9±1.2	0±0				
p-trend	0.003(-)	0.022(-)	0.363(+)	546				
	Non-pregnant, non-	lactating women 50	years and older					
2011-2012	174±5	202±7.7	7.6±1.8	0.02±0.04				
2013-2014	168.8±5.3	193.4±5.9	6.9±1.3	0±0 548				
2015-2016	162.2±7.8	196.5±16.4	15.9±4.3	0.92±1.66				
2017-2018	161.5±4.5	205.6±13.4	4.9±1.9	0.01±0.0549				
2017-2020	153.9±4.7	188.9±6.2	7.2±1.5	0.01±0.01				
p-trend	0.003(-)	0.584(-)	0.87 (+)	550				
	Ĩ	Pregnant women		<b>FF</b> 1				
2011-2012	186.8 ±18.7	203.8 ±11.5	14.6 ±7.5	0 ±0				
2013-2014	162.4 ±10.3	190.4 ±17.9	23.7 ±5.5	0 ±0 552				
2015-2016	239.4 ±22	220.7 ±18	5.6 ±2.7	0 ±0				
2017-2018	216.2 ±40.6	264.1 ±47.5	11.7 ±7.4	0 ±0.1 553				
2017-2020	215.7 ±27.1	235.1 ±28.4	10.2 ±4.6	0 ±0				
p-trend	0.17(-)	0.14(+)	0.40(-)	554				
	l	_actating women						
2011-2012	257.8 ±21.9	259.6±21.6	0 ±5.2	0 ±0				
2013-2014	215.6 ±36.6	219.1±35.1	44.8 ±18.8	0 ±0 556				
2015-2016	225.3 ±21.4	281.3±31.3	24.6 ±8.5	0 ±0.1				
2017-2018	202.7 ±41.3	263.3±46.3	26.9 ±18.1	0 ±0 557				
2017-2020	181 ±28.7	230.4±37.2	40.3 ±14.8	0 ±0 558				

- 559 Note: <sup>1</sup>Numbers following the ± sign are the standard errors; <sup>2</sup>Inadequacy: percentage of intake
- 560 below EAR; Excessive: percentage of intake above the up limit. For age groups: Ages <= 3 years
- 561 (yrs) old, EAR-65 mcg/24hrs, up limit (UL)- 200 mcg/24hrs; 4-8 yrs old, EAR-65, UL-300
- 562 mcg/24hrs; 9-13 yrs old, EAR- 74, UL-600 mcg24 hrs; 14-18 yrs old: EAR- 95, UL- 900
- 563 mcg/24hrs:>19 yrs old, EAR-95, UL-1100 mcg/24hr. For lactating women: 209 mcg/day, for
- pregnant women: 160 mcg/day. EARs are from Institute of Medicine.<sup>35 3</sup>p- trend: significance
- level of regression trend over the five sample-cycle years and "+" signs within the parentheses
- 566 indicate a growing trend while "-" signs indicate a reducing trend.

# 568 Table 3. Medians (ug/l) and sufficiency percentages of urinary iodine concentration of US girls

569 and women

		<sup>2</sup> Total				
		insufficiency	Mild	Moderate	Severe	
	<sup>1</sup> Median UIC	%	deficiency %	deficiency %	deficiency %	Excessive %
	1	Girls 1	4 years and you	inger	1	
2011-2012	142.6±11.3	34.5±4	19.4±2.9	12.2±2.8	2.9±1.9	21.8±4.6
2013-2014	152.9±11.5	27.1±3.7	19.5±2.9	7.1±2.6	0.5±0.4	16.7±1.7
2015-2016	157.2±13.4	31.4±2.9	20.7±1.4	9.8±2	1±0.5	23.1±2.7
2017-2018	141.6±15.8	36.5±3.3	20.1±2	13.4±2.4	3.1±1.6	22.4±3.1
2017-2020	143.8±10.4	33.8±3	19.9±1.9	11.3±1.7	2.6±1.3	20.9±2.5
<sup>3</sup> p-trend	0.746(-)	0.562(+)	0.391 (+)	0.635(+)	0.665(+)	0.703(+)
	Non-	pregnant, non-l	actating womer	n 15 to 49 years	old	
2011-2012	107.9±7.9	46.5±2.9	24.5±2.5	19.6±2.3	2.5±0.9	13.7±2.2
2013-2014	103.7±6.7	47.9±2.6	27.5±2.1	17.7±1.8	2.7±0.8	14.1±2.5
2015-2016	101.2±6.4	48.9±2.8	27.9±2.3	17.6±2.1	3.3±1.2	13.1±2.4
2017-2018	98.4±5.6	50.7±3	26.8±3.4	16.1±1.7	7.8±1.2	9.5±1.8
2017-2020	102.8±5.3	48.8±2.9	27.9±2.8	15.1±1.5	5.8±1	10±1.6
p-trend	0.186(-)	0.131(+)	0.212 (+)	0.004(-)	0.103(+)	0.053(-)
	Non-	pregnant, non-l	actating womer	1 50 years and c	older	
2011-2012	133.7±10.2	36.5±3.7	25.4±3.4	11.1±2.6	1.7±1.2	14.6±2.3
2013-2014	125.9±7.8	39.4±3.1	26.1±2.2	12.2±2.6	1±0.3	18.6±2.8
2015-2016	132±11.8	38.1±3.6	25.8±3.5	9.9±2.2	1.2±0.8	18.9±3.3
2017-2018	118±13.1	44.7±3.7	31.2±2.7	10.3±1.8	2±1	16.2±2.7
2017-2020	119.5±11.2	45.2±2.7	30.2±2.5	12.4±1.9	1.8±0.7	16.7±2.4
p-trend	0.097(-)	0.032(+)	0.066(+)	0.855(+)	0.398(+)	0.784(+)
		<sup>4</sup> H	Pregnant women	1		
2011-2012	123.1±30.7	52.9±14.7				0
2013-2014	144.3±36.3	53.8±13.6				12.6±12.1
2015-2016	144.1±22.4	55.1±11.1				4.8±3.7
2017-2018	156±54.7	57.2±16.7				9.3±6.1
2017-2020	131.4±46.8	63.2±13.1				11.7±7.8
p-trend	0.561(+)	0.024(+)				
		L	actating women			
2011-2012	70±30.2	74±13.9				
2013-2014	80.5±57.6	43.5±24.3				
2015-2016	93±19.2	73.9±15				
2017-2018	126±23.6	17.7±12.1				
2017-2020	122.1±20.2	31.3±13.6				
p-trend	0.0.13(+)	0.194(-)				

- 570 Note: <sup>1</sup>Median UIC: Numbers following the ± sign are standard errors. For girls and non-pregnant,
- 571 non-lactating women, UIC <100 ug/L was considered iodine insufficient, 50-99 μg/L mildly
- deficient, 49-20 ug/l moderately deficient, <20 ug/L severely deficient and above 300 ug/l
- 573 excessive. For lactating and pregnant women, UIC<100 ug/L and <150 ug/L were considered
- iodine insufficient respectively.<sup>25</sup> For pregnant women, UIC above  $\geq$  500 µg/L was considered
- 575 excessive. <sup>3</sup>p- trend: significance level of regression trend over the five sample-cycle years and
- <sup>576</sup> "+" signs indicate a growing trend while "-" signs indicate a declining trend. <sup>4</sup>Median UICs for
- 577 pregnant and lactating women were not weighted for population because of small sample size.

# Table 4. Mean percentage (%) contributions of 11 main food (including water and salt) categories to dietary iodine intake of US girls

# and women

	Total	<sup>1</sup> Total	Whole	Refined	<sup>2</sup> total						
	fruit	veg	grain	grain	pf_mps	eggs	milk	yogurt	cheese	water	salt
		Mean pe	rcentage cont	ributions of :	11 food cate	gories to die	tary iodine in	the five samp	le cycles		
2011-2012	0.1±0.01	0.5±0.01	6.4±0.19	18.8±0.39	5.4±0.4	3±0.14	37.7±0.86	4.1±0.43	7.5±0.21	4.9±0.2	10.5±0.21
2013-2014	0.1±0	0.5±0.01	6.3±0.15	19.6±0.39	6.6±0.6	3.4±0.13	33.5±0.66	4.6±0.32	8.1±0.19	5.3±0.17	10.9±0.16
2015-2016	0.2±0	0.5±0.02	6.4±0.21	18.9±0.5	6.1±0.45	4±0.15	33.3±0.96	5.2±0.34	7.3±0.29	6±0.22	11.2±0.24
2017-2018	0.1±0	0.6±0.02	6.2±0.26	20.5±0.48	6.3±0.53	4.2±0.26	31±0.79	4.3±0.38	8±0.26	6.1±0.16	11.5±0.26
2017-2020	0.2±0	0.6±0.02	6.3±0.21	19.9±0.35	6.1±0.39	4.4±0.21	31.3±0.61	4.4±0.3	7.9±0.2	6.2±0.11	11.8±0.19
<sup>3</sup> p-trend	0.089 +	0.012+	0.487-	0.213+	0.527+	0.004+	0.035-	0.867+	0.614+	0.015+	<0.01+
	Mea	an percentag	e contributior	ns of 11 food	categories t	o dietary iod	line intake of	three age grou	ups and all ag	ges	
<15 yrs old	0.2±0	0.3±0.01	5.6±0.17	18.2±0.28	2.6±0.19	2.3±0.09	48.6±0.57	3.9±0.3	6.9±0.16	2.5±0.06	8.7±0.11
15-49 yrs	0.1±0	0.6±0.01	5.8±0.15	21.4±0.25	6.4±0.27	4.1±0.15	28.6±0.52	3.9±0.22	8.9±0.17	6.8±0.11	12.2±0.12
>50 yrs	0.2±0	0.6±0.01	7.4±0.17	17.9±0.27	7.6±0.38	4.1±0.12	31.4±0.56	5.7±0.31	6.9±0.2	6±0.13	11.2±0.14
All ages	0.2±0	0.6±0.01	25.8±0.18	(all grain)	6.1±0.22	3.8±0.09	45	.7±0.4 (all dair	·y)	5.7±0.08	11.2±0.1
<sup>4</sup> Abt et al. <sup>10</sup>	2	1	17 (all	grain)	3	5	49 (all dairy)		0	0	
	Percentage differences of contributions from 11 food categories to dietary lodine between 2011-2012 and 2017-2020										
<15 yrs old	0.02±0.01	0.02±0.02	2.04±0.46	1.3±0.7	0.62±0.72	0.46±0.23	-6.72±1.49	0.84±1.12	-0.34±0.5	1.09±0.17	0.66±0.3
15-49 yrs	0±0.01	0.12±0.03	-0.4±0.46	1.41±0.69	0.48±0.65	1.6±0.45	-6.47±1.74	-0.34±0.66	0.35±0.45	1.49±0.35	1.72±0.38
>50 yrs	0.01±0.01	0.05±0.04	-1.01±0.6	0.78±0.86	0.85±0.94	1.5±0.36	-6.32±1.44	0.74±0.84	1.02±0.67	1.14±0.43	1.25±0.38
All ages	0.01±0.01	0.08±0.02	-0.09±0.28	1.07±0.52	0.69±0.55	1.35±0.25	-6.42±1.05	0.3±0.52	0.4±0.29	1.27±0.23	1.32±0.28

Note: <sup>1</sup>Total\_veg: total vegetables; <sup>2</sup>TOTAL PF\_MPS: Total Meat, Poultry and Seafood. <sup>3</sup>p-trend: significance level of regression trend, "+" signs indicate a growing trend while "-" signs indicate a reducing trend. <sup>4</sup>Abt et al. data were extracted from reference #10 and are for population (both men and women) between years 2008 and 2012.

			Weight range	Median weight	iodine mcg per
Abbrowistics	Nama	11.0.14	grams per	grams	100
Abbreviation	Name	Unit	сир	per unit	grams
	Citrus, Melons, and	cup	145 105	165	0.2
F_CITMLB	Berries	eq.	145-185	165	0.2
F OTHER	Other Fruits	cup	110-155	145	0.2
		eq.	110-155	145	0.2
F JUICE	Fruit Juice	cup eq.		250	0.1
		cup		230	0.1
F TOTAL	Total Fruit	eq.	70-250		
	Dark Green	cup	, 0 230		
V DRKGR	Vegetables	eq.	70-170	140	0.2
		cup			
V REDOR TOMATO	Tomatoes	eq.	120-245	170	0.51
	Other Red and Orange	cup			_
V REDOR OTHER	Vegetables	eq.	115-245	135	0.51
	Total Red and Orange	cup			
V REDOR TOTAL	Vegetables	eq.	120-245		
		cup			
V_STARCHY_POTATO	Potatoes	eq.	120-155	137.5	0.6
	Other Starchy	cup			
V_STARCHY_OTHER	Vegetables	eq.	60-175	160	0.5
	Total Starchy	cup			
V_STARCHY_TOTAL	Vegetables	eq.	60-175		
		cup			
V_OTHER	Other Vegetables	eq.	60-210	150	0.5
		cup			
V_TOTAL	Total Vegetables	eq.	57-245		
		cup			
V_LEGUMES	Beans and Peas	eq.	60-175	117.5	0.3
		oz.			
G_WHOLE	Whole Grains	eq.		28.35	50
_		oz.			
G_REFINED	Refined Grains	eq.		28.35	25
		oz.			
G_TOTAL	Total Grains	eq.			
SE 14547		oz.			
PF_MEAT	Meat	eq.		28.35	3.6

1 Appendix Table 1. Iodine concentrations of 29 food groups of FPED, tap water and sodium salt

r	I	1	1	,	
		oz.			
PF_CUREDMEAT	Cured Meat	eq.		28.35	3.6
		oz.		20.05	
PF_ORGAN	Organ Meat	eq.		28.35	16
	D. U.	oz.		20.25	2
PF_POULT	Poultry	eq.		28.35	2
	Seafood High in n-3	OZ.		20.25	60
PF_SEAFD_HI	Fatty Acids Seafood Low in n-3	eq.		28.35	60
	Fatty Acids	oz.		28.35	60
PF_SEAFD_LOW	Total Meat, Poultry,	eq. oz.		20.55	00
PF_MPS_TOTAL	and Seafood				
		eq. oz.			
PF EGGS	Eggs	eq.		28.35	50
11_0005	-56 <sup>3</sup>	oz.		20.55	50
PF_SOY	Soy Products	eq.		28.35	0.1
		oz.		20.00	0.1
PF NUTSDS	Nuts and Seeds	eq.		28.35	0.7
		oq.			•
PF LEGUMES	Beans and Peas	eq.		28.35	0.5
		OZ.			
PF_TOTAL	Total Protein Foods	eq.			
		cup			
D_MILK	Milk	eq.		245	35
		cup			
D_YOGURT	Yogurt	eq.		245	45
		cup			
D_CHEESE	Cheese	eq.		42.75	50
		cup			
D_TOTAL	Total Dairy	eq.			
OILS	Oils	grams		1	0.1
SOLID_FATS	Solid Fats	grams		1	0.1
ADD_SUGARS	Added Sugars	tspeq		4.2	0.4
A_DRINKS	Alcoholic Drinks	no. of d	lrinks	319	0.98
	11% Na intake from				
1			1	1	
Sodium salt	home	grams		100	677.9

2 Note: Rows with \_TOTAL are food categories that participants' iodine intake can be summarized

3 from individual food groups (see text for details).